FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)



UNITED SYATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

· Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Stranding and American Control of the Control of th		There is the substitute of the second	7 (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (5) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4
Professional Control of the Control	en e		EXAMINER TO THE STATE OF THE ST
	ikus thombod towns on i	on the growth was a second property of the se	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
iliak Churs armad kaj projektoj. Angle kare armad kaj	n kamba yang salah salah	a gradu i kanga sebagai	e i pasiti e di della di serio della di di * di
	INTERV	IEW SUMMARY	TE MAILED: 10th 1 + 10th 1 + 10th et a 10th et al. 10th 1
All participants (applicant, applicant's	s representative, PTO personne	(3) Aak N	Contactions of Environment of the contact of Napolin Contact of Administration of the Contact of
Date of Interview	6.3	of the section of the	is must you be to tender out? I not support the Daubbelt in you. I to make the person of the eleganing of out of the company of the compan
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative)	s araumy has a dibbalance जिस्साम्बद्धाः स. १९५७ वर्षः सम्बद्धाः वर्षः अस्त मार्के हेर्यः
Exhibit shown or demonstration cond			in I the actuat
Glavins recto	u andreis	a ummuro ses-	#CTUP of U Cute() = 1
Agreement was reached. Was	s not reached.	1.7.3 Tonga is a	pending the control of the control o
Claim(s) discussed:	S TEMES	A CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE STATE	ই মার এনার ক্রীক্রেল্ড অব্যাধীন কর্মান ক্রিক্টের বিভাগ ব
dentification of prior art discussed:	Moraman e		of common conditions against agreen an income.
		क्षान्य हुन अभास , १८८, हाओं। 	Name of the method of the united O
Description of the general nature of w	hat was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other comm	nents: applicants diacus
the feature of the	claimed algh	um vaccines	which result in
ecitation of moto	the immune	very mass &	EQ. A SOLUTION OF THE PROPERTY
linear appeared	Legisland of many	the transfer of the transfer o	The state of the s
et alshan	druitgrau <u>par sy</u> ilui i di 100 yilli.	1	is stry continued to the wood or
At the state of th	2 / may 18 /	/~	Color of the color of the color
ttached.)	y or me amendments which we	ould render the claims allowable is a	d would render the claims allowable vailable, a summary thereof must be
It is not necessary for applicant	to provide a senarate record of	the cubstance of the interview	Discussion to the contract of
nless the paragraph above has been S NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUD ction has are ready been filed, APPLI UBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW	n checked to indicate to the con DE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE ICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH	trary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONDED INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7 I FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO	ONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 13.04): If a response to the last Office OFFILE A STATEMENT OF THE
			in the base of the state of the
rejections and requirements that is considered to fulfill the responthe interview unless box 1 above	is requirements of the last Offi	ce action, and since the claims are ce action. Applicant is not relieved	nous olloweddia shia acarrilas at t

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1:133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of THAMMUS KEINELS AT

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures of the second of

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)

agreement I was name of 18 was no marcaed.

- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of specific prior art discussed,
 an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to

or turust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,

6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and

7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview-Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder or any period for response, whichever is longer, abandonment of the application (37cCFR 1.135(c).) ार्थ देवीचे वीक्त भीड़का, उन प्रदर्श

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.